Section on a specific subject from:

DATABOOK OF HAPPINESS

A complementary reference work to
Conditions of Happiness

Ruut Veenhoven
with the assistance of Ton Jonkers
Erasmus University Rotterdam

S 1 SATISFACTION WITH . .

S 1.1   Satisfaction with leisure
1.1.1 – Leisure activities
1.1.2 – Leisure time

S 1.2   Satisfaction with living environment
1.2.1 – Housing
1.2.2 – Neighborhood
1.2.3 – Specific facilities
1.2.4 – Various aspects of the living environment

S 1.3   Satisfaction with nation
1.3.1 – Nation in general
1.3.2 – Government
1.3.3 – Various aspects of the nation

S 1.4   Satisfaction with oneself . . . . see S 2.1

S 1.5   Satisfaction with one's own life . . . . see H 1, L 2

S 1.6   Satisfaction with physical health

S 1.7   Satisfaction with social life
1.7.1 – Family, relatives
1.7.2 – Marriage
1.7.3 – Friends, social contacts
1.7.4 – Various aspects of social life

S 1.8   Satisfaction with socio-economic level
1.8.1 – S.E.S. in general
1.8.2 – Level of education
1.8.3 – Income, standard of living . . . . see also S 1.9.2

S 1.9   Satisfaction with work, job. . . . . see also A 2.2.14
1.9.1 – Work in general
1.9.2 – Specific aspects of the job

S 1.10   Various domainsatisfactions

S 1.11   Satisfaction sumscores

S 1.1 – SATISFACTION WITH LEISURE

S 1.1.1 – LEISURE ACTIVITIES

SATISFACTION WITH SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES	Closed question: 'How do you feel about . . .?' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.41			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES	See above		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.47			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (third sample) N: 1072, date: November, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 19
HAPPINESS WITH LEISURE TIME ACTIVITIES	Closed question rated on an 11-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 1.1	r_{pm}	+.55			Workers of a Utility Union, Greater Kansas City area, U.S.A. Probability cluster sample N: 213, date: —	GILLO 73 p. 124
SATISFACTION WITH THINGS YOU CAN DO IN LEISURE TIME	Closed question rated on an 11-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+.24			National population, Britain Non-probability quota sample N: 213, date: March, 1971	ABRAM 73 p. 21
SATISFACTION WITH LEISURE TIME ACTIVITIES	Closed question ranging from 'not at all satisfied' to 'very satisfied'		HAPP 1.1	mc	+.55			Urban adult Jewish population, Israel Probability area sample, using dwelling units N: 1940, date: spring, 1973	LEVY 75/1 p. 372
			AFF 1.1	mc	+.51				
SATISFACTION WITH POSSIBILITIES TO PURSUE ONE'S HOBBIES	Closed question rated on an open graphic scale ranging from 'very dissatisfied' to 'very satisfied'	Lower among those of age 41 – 50 Unaffected by S.E.S. Stronger among those of medium educational level Stronger among females	HAPP 1.1	G	+.53		05	National adult population, The Netherlands Probability area sample N: 1552, date: June, 1968	BAKKE 74 p. 27
LITTLE SATISFACTION RECEIVED FROM SPORTS	Closed question: disagree / not sure / agree	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 1.1	G	-.25	Chi^2	ns	Adults, Toledo, Ohio, U.S.A. Systematic random sample N: 510, date: 1973	SNYDE 74 p. 35
		males: G = -.33 (01) females: G = -.25 (ns)	HAPP 2.1	G	–	Chi^2			

S I.1.2 - LEISURE TIME

SATISFACTION WITH AMOUNT OF TIME FOR DOING THE THINGS ONE LIKES	Closed question: 'How do you feel about . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.28	°	National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH AMOUNT OF TIME FOR DOING THE THINGS ONE LIKES	See above		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.31		National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (third sample) N: 1072, date: November, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 19
SATISFACTION WITH CHANCES FOR RELAXATION	See above		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.39		See above	ANDRE 74 p. 19
SATISFACTION WITH SPARE TIME	Closed question rated on a 7-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 2.1	r	+.40		Adult population of 8 major British conurbations Non-probability quota sample N: 593, date: October - November, 1971	HALL 73 p. 100
SATISFACTION WITH POSSIBILITIES FOR LEISURE TIME ACTIVITIES	Closed question rated on a 4-point scale ranging from 'very unsatisfied' to 'very satisfied'	unmarried employed males: r = +.53 (05) unmarried employed females: r = +.19 (05) married employed males: r = +.28 (05) married employed females: r = +.29 (05) married non-employed females: r = +.54 (05)	HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+	05	Inhabitants of Helsinki, Finland Probability sample N: 442, date: spring - summer, 1966	HAAVI 71 p. 590

S 1.2 - SATISFACTION WITH LIVING ENVIRONMENT

S I.2.1 - HOUSING

SATISFACTION WITH HOUSE, APARTMENT	Closed question: 'How do you feel about . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.36		National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH HOUSE, APARTMENT	See above		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.44		National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (third sample) N: 1072, date: November, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 19
SATISFACTION WITH HOUSING	Closed question rated on an 11-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+.13		National population, Britain Non-probability quota sample N: 213, date: March, 1971	ABRAM 73 p. 21
SATISFACTION WITH HOUSE	Closed question rated on a 7-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 2.1	r	+.19		Adult population of 8 major British conurbations Non-probability quota sample N: 593, date: October - November, 1971	HALL 73 p. 100
SATISFACTION WITH HOUSING	Closed question rated on an open graphic scale ranging from 'very dissatisfied' to 'very satisfied'	Lower among those of medium S.E.S. Stronger among those of high or low S.E.S. Lower among those of medium and high educational level Unaffected by age and sex	HAPP 1.1	G	+.41	05	National adult population, The Netherlands Probability area sample N: 1552, date: June, 1968	BAKKE 74 p. 27

SATISFACTION WITH HOUSING	Direct yes / no question	unmarried males: r = +.30 (01) married males: r = +.01 (ns) unmarried females: r = +.29 (025) married females: r = +.04 (ns)	HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+	Chi^2		Adults, Amsterdam, The Netherlands Probability systematic random sample, stratified by sex and marital status N: 600, date: September – December, 1965	JONG 69 p. 192
SATISFACTION WITH HOUSING	Direct yes / no question		HAPP 2.1	G	+.26	Chi^2	000	Male employees of age 40+, The Netherlands Non-probability chunk sample N: 13000, date: —	SONDE 75
SATISFACTION WITH APARTMENT	Closed question ranging from 'very unsatisfied' to 'very satisfied'		HAPP 1.1	mc	+.30			Urban adult Jewish population, Israel Probability area sample using dwelling units N: 1940, date: spring, 1973	LEVY 75/1 p. 372
			AFF 1.1	mc	+.26				

S 1.2.2 – NEIGHBORHOOD

SATISFACTION WITH COMMUNITY	Closed question: would rather live somewhere else vs like living in this community		HAPP 1.1	G'	+.34	Gt'	01	National adult population, U.S.A. Non-probability quota sample N: 2377, date: February, 1946	WESSM 56 p. 200
SATISFACTION WITH NEIGHBORHOOD	6-item index containing satisfaction with neighbors, community members, outdoor space, location and safety Closed questions: 'How do you feel about . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.31			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH DISTRICT	Closed question rated on an 11-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+.03			National population Britain Non-probability quota sample N: 213, date: March, 1971	ABRAM 73 p. 21
SATISFACTION WITH DISTRICT	Closed question rated on a 7-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 2.1	r	+.24			Adult population of 8 major British conurbations Non-probability quota sample N: 593, date: October – November, 1971	HALL 73 p. 100
SATISFACTION WITH NEIGHBORHOOD	Single direct question rated on an open graphic scale ranging from 'very dissatisfied' to 'very satisfied'	Unaffected by age and sex No relation among those of highest or lowest S.E.S. No relation among those of high educational level	HAPP 1.1	G	+.42		05	National adult population, The Netherlands Probability area sample N: 1552, date: June, 1968	BAKKE 74 p. 27
SATISFACTION WITH LIFE IN ONE'S TOWN	Closed question ranging from 'not at all satisfied' to 'very satisfied'		HAPP 1.1	mc	+.45			Urban adult Jewish population, Israel Probability area sample using dwelling units N: 1940, date: spring, 1973	LEVY 75/1 p. 372
			AFF 1.1	mc	+.36				

S 1.2.3 – SPECIFIC FACILITIES

SATISFACTION WITH SCHOOL FACILITIES IN THE AREA	Closed question: 'How do you feel about . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	+.17			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17

Variable	Description	Remarks	Instr.	Stat.	Value	t	Sig.	Sample	Source
SATISFACTION WITH COMMUNITY'S SCHOOL FACILITIES	Closed question rated on a graphic rating scale very dissatisfied / somewhat disssatisfied / neither satisfied nor dissatisfied / somewhat satisfied / very satisfied	males: r = +.12 (05) females: r = +.08 (ns)	HAPP 3.1	r_{pm}	+	t		Workers, Columbia, Canada Non-probability purposive sample by expert choice N: 470, date: —	HULIN 69 p. 285
SATISFACTION WITH SCHOOL TEACHERS IN THE COMMUNITY	See above	males: r = +.15 (01) females: r = +.07 (ns)	HAPP 3.1	r_{pm}	+	t		See above	HULIN 69 p. 285
SATISFACTION WITH MEDICAL CARE	11-item satisfaction with medical care index containing satisfaction with doctor's concern about health, warmth and personal interest, friendliness, training and technical competence, willingness to listen, amount of time spent with you, amount of privacy in doctor's office, amount of health information given, quality of medical care, adequacy of office facilities and equipment, and friendliness of nurses, receptionists, etc.	Investigated among those who received some medical services in the past year.	AFF 1.1	r_{pm}	+.12		001	Families of hourly workers and salaried employees, U.S.A. N: 712, date: summer, 1973	TESSL 75 p. 102
SATISFACTION WITH MEDICAL CARE FOR CHILDREN	11-item satisfaction with medical care index rephrased so as to be appropriate to measure satisfaction with care received by children	Computed for Ss with children under 12 only (N= 386)	AFF 1.1	r_{pc}			ns	See above	TESSL 75 p. 105
SATISFACTION WITH COMMUNITY'S MEDICAL FACILITIES	Closed question rated on a graphic rating scale very dissatisfied / somewhat dissatisfied / neither dissatisfied nor satisfied / somewhat satisfied / very satisfied	males: r = +.09 (ns) females: r = +.05 (ns)	HAPP 3.1	r_{pm}	+	t	ns	Workers, Columbia, Canada Non-probability purposive sample by expert choice N: 470, date: —	HULIN 69 p. 285
SATISFACTION WITH AVAILABILITY OF DOCTORS IN THE COMMUNITY	See above	males only	HAPP 3.1	r_{pm}	+.13	t	05	See above	HULIN 69 p. 285
SATISFACTION WITH AVAILABILITY OF DENTISTS IN THE COMMUNITY	See above	males only	HAPP 3.1	r_{pm}	+.13	t	05	See above	HULIN 69 p. 285
SATISFACTION WITH MEDICAL SERVICES	Closed question		HAPP 1.1 AFF 1.1	mc mc	+.15 +.20			Urban adult Jewish population, Israel Probability area sample using dwelling units N: 1830, date: summer, 1973	LEVY 75/2 p. 373
SATISFACTION WITH CONSUMER FACILITIES	3-item index containing satisfaction with the way you can get around to work, school, shopping, etc; doctors, clinics and hospitals; and the goods and services one can buy in the area Closed questions: 'How do you feel about . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.31			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH GOODS AND SERVICES AVAILABLE IN THE AREA	Closed question: 'How do you feel about . . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.25			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (third sample) N: 1072, date: November, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 19
SATISFACTION WITH SHOPPING FACILITIES IN THE COMMUNITY	Closed question rated on a graphic rating scale very dissatisfied / somewhat dissatisfied / neither satisfied nor dissatisfied / somewhat satisfied / very satisfied	Stronger among males: r = +.22 (01) Lower among females: r = +.10 (ns	HAPP 3.1	r_{pm}	+	t		Workers, Columbia, Canada Non-probability purposive sample by expert choice N: 470, date: —	HULIN 69 p. 285
SATISFACTION WITH RECREATION FACILITIES	2-item index containing satisfaction with outdoor places and sports and recreation facilities Closed questions: 'How do you feel about . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.22			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17

Variable	Measurement	Sex differences	Instrument	Statistic	Value	t	Sig.	Population	Reference
SATISFACTION WITH NEARBY PLACES OF RECREATION AND SPORTS	Closed question: 'How do you feel about . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.27			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (third sample) N: 1072, date: November, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 19
SATISFACTION WITH ADULT RECREATIONAL FACILITIES IN THE COMMUNITY	Closed question rated on a graphic rating scale very dissatisfied / somewhat dissatisfied / neither satisfied nor dissatisfied / somewhat satisfied / very satisfied	males: r = +.22 (01) females: r = +.20 (ns)	HAPP 3.1	r_{pm}	+	t		Workers, Columbia, Canada Non-probability purposive sample by expert choice N: 470, date: —	HULIN 69 p. 285
SATISFACTION WITH RECREATIONAL FACILITIES FOR CHILDREN IN THE COMMUNITY	See above	Stronger among females: r = +.27 (05) Lower among males: r = +.12 (05)	HAPP 3.1	r_{pm}	+	t	05	See above	HULIN 69 p. 285
SATISFACTION WITH MUNICIPAL SERVICES, like garbage collection, fire and police protection	Closed question: 'How do you feel about . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.20			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH THE WAY THE POLICE AND THE COURTS DO THEIR JOB	Closed question rated on an 11-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	-.04			National population, Britain Non-probability quota sample N: 213, date: March, 1971	ABRAM 73 p. 21
SATISFACTION WITH AVAILABILITY OF LIVING ACCOMMODATIONS IN THE COMMUNITY	Closed question rated on a graphic rating scale very dissatisfied / somewhat dissatisfied / neither satisfied nor dissatisfied / somewhat satisfied / very satisfied	Stronger among males: r = +.23 (01) Lower among females: r = +.08 (ns)	HAPP 3.1	r_{pm}	+	t		Workers, Columbia, Canada Non-probability purposive sample by expert choice N: 470, date: —	HULIN 69 p. 285

S 1.2.4 - VARIOUS ASPECTS OF THE LIVING ENVIRONMENT

Variable	Measurement	Sex differences	Instrument	Statistic	Value	t	Sig.	Population	Reference
SATISFACTION WITH LOCAL GOVERNMENT	2-item index containing satisfaction with police and courts, and local government Closed questions: 'How do you feel about . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted	Stronger among males: h^2 = .31 Lower among females : h^2 = .18	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.23			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH COST OF LIVING IN THE COMMUNITY	Closed question rated on a graphic rating scale very dissatisfied / somewhat dissatisfied / neither satisfied nor dissatisfied / somewhat satisfied / very satisfied	Stronger among males: r = +.23 (01) Lower among females: r = +.08 (ns)	HAPP 3.1	r_{pm}	+	t		Workers, Columbia, Canada Non-probability purposive sample by expert choice N: 470, date: —	HULIN 69 p. 285
SATISFACTION WITH COSTS OF HOUSING IN THE COMMUNITY	See above	males: r = +.09 (ns) females: r = +.15 (ns)	HAPP 3.1	r_{pm}	+	t	ns	See above	HULIN 69 p. 285
SATISFACTION WITH ATTRACTIVENESS OF TOWN	See above	Stronger among males: r = +.24 (01) Lower among females: r = +.09 (ns)	HAPP 3.1	r_{pm}	+	t		See above	HULIN 69 p. 285
SATISFACTION WITH LOCATION OF THE COMMUNITY IN TERMS OF ISOLATION AND REMOTE LOCATION	See above	males: r = +.30 (01) females: r = +.29 (01)	HAPP 3.1	r_{pm}	+	t	01	See above	HULIN 69 p. 285
SATISFACTION WITH CONDITION OF NATURAL ENVIRONMENT IN THE AREA	Closed question: 'How do you feel about . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.13			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH SECURITY FROM THEFT	See above		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.27			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (third sample) N: 1072, date: November, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 19

SATISFACTION WITH THE WEATHER	See last page	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.12			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH THE WEATHER	Closed question rated on a graphic rating scale very dissatisfied / somewhat dissatisfied / neither satisfied nor dissatisfied / somewhat satisfied / very satisfied	males: r = +.25 (01) females: r = +.23 (05)	HAPP 3.1	r_{pm}	+	t	05	Workers, Columbia, Canada Non-probability purposive sample by expert choice N: 470, date: —	HULIN 69 p. 285

S 1.3 - SATISFACTION WITH NATION

S 1.3.1 - NATION IN GENERAL

SATISFACTION WITH THE WAY THINGS ARE GOING IN THE U.S.A	Closed question rated on an 11-point self-anchoring scale (see Cantril, 1965)		HAPP 2.1	r	-.11			National adult population, U.S.A. Cantril (1965) modified probability sample N: 1406, date: 1959	BORTN 70 p. 44
			HAPP 3.1	r	-.09				
			CON 1.1	r	-.11				
DISSATISFACTION WITH PRESENT SOCIO-POLITICAL ORDER	5-item index indicating anomia and powerlessness (from a shortened Adorno F-scale; see Weima, 1963)		HAPP 1.1	G'	+.13	Gt'	ns	Adults, Utrecht, The Netherlands Probability sample stratified by age N: 300, date: autumn, 1967	MOSER 69 p. 40

S 1.3.2 - GOVERNMENT

SATISFACTION WITH NATIONAL GOVERNMENT	4-item index containing satisfaction with the way the government is operating, what the government is doing about the economy, national military activities, the way political leaders think and act Closed questions: 'How do you feel about . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / neither satisfied nor dissatisfied / somewhat satisfied / very satisfied	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.26			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH NATIONAL GOVERNMENT	3-item index containing satisfaction with what government is doing, and the way political leaders think and act Closed questions (See above)		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.25			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (third sample) N: 1072, date: November, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 19
SATISFACTION WITH THE WAY THE GOVERNMENT HANDLES STRIKES	Closed question ranging from 'not at all satisfied' to 'very satisfied'		HAPP 1.1	mc	+.01			Urban adult Jewish population, Israel Probability area sample using dwelling units N: 1940, date: spring, 1973	LEVY 75/1 p. 372
			AFF 1.1	mc	-.04				
SATISFACTION WITH THE WAY THE GOVERNMENT HANDLES STRIKES	Closed question		HAPP 1.1	mc	+.08			Urban adult Jewish population, Israel Probability area sample using dwelling units N: 1830, date: summer, 1973	LEVY 75/2 p. 373
			AFF 1.1	mc	+.08				

SATISFACTION WITH THE WAY THE GOVERNMENT HANDLES PROBLEMS RELATED TO TERRORIST ACTIVITIES AGAINST ISRAELIS ABROAD	Closed question ranging from 'not at all satisfied' to 'very satisfied'		HAPP 1.1	mc	+.09	Urban adult Jewish population, Israel Probability area sample using dwelling units N: 1940, date: spring, 1973	LEVY 75/1 p. 372
			AFF 1.1	mc	+.04		

S 1.3.3 – VARIOUS ASPECTS OF THE NATION

SATISFACTION WITH STANDARDS AND VALUES OF TODAY'S SOCIETY	Closed question: 'How do you feel about . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.26	National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (third sample) N: 1072, date: November, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 19
SATISFACTION WITH THE WAY YOUNG PEOPLE THINK AND ACT	See above	Stronger among females: $h^2 = .23$ Lower among males: $h^2 = .11$	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.15	National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH THE WAY PEOPLE OVER FORTY THINK AND ACT	See above	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.22	See above	ANDRE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH LEVEL OF DEMOCRACY	Closed question rated on a 7–point self–anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 2.1	r	+.25	Adult population of 8 major British conurbations Non–probability quota sample N: 593, date: October – November, 1971	HALL 73 p. 100
SATISFACTION WITH WELFARE SERVICES such as health, pensions, social workers, social security, etc.	Closed question rated on an 11–point self–anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+.37	National population, Britain Non–probability quota sample N: 213, date: March, 1971	ABRAM 73 p. 21
SATISFACTION WITH COST OF LIVING	Closed questions: 'How do you feel about . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.26	National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample). N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH ECONOMIC SITUATION	Closed question		HAPP 1.1	mc	+.19	Urban adult Jewish population, Israel Probability area sample using dwelling units N: 1830, date: summer, 1973	LEVY 75/2 p. 373
			AFF 1.1	mc	+.15		
SATISFACTION WITH THE WAY THE HISTADRUT HANDLES STRIKES	Closed question ranging from 'not at all satisfied' to 'very satisfied'		HAPP 1.1	mc	–.03	Urban adult Jewish population, Israel Probability area sample using dwelling units N: 1940, date: spring, 1973	LEVY 75/1 p. 372
			AFF 1.1	mc	–.07		
SATISFACTION WITH MEDIA	2–item index containing satisfaction with entertainment and information from TV, radio, newspapers, etc.	Stronger among males: $h^2 = .22$ Lower among females: $h^2 = .12$	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.15	National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17
	Closed questions: 'How do you feel about . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted						
SATISFACTION WITH ISRAELI TV PROGRAMS	Closed question ranging from 'very unsatisfied' to 'very satisfied'		HAPP 1.1	mc	+.02	Urban adult Jewish population, Israel Probability area sample using dwelling units N: 1940, date: spring, 1973	LEVY 75/1 p. 372
			AFF 1.1	mc	–.08		

S 1.4 – SATISFACTION WITH ONESELF   See 'Formal Aspects of Self Image' (S 2.1)

S 1.5 – SATISFACTION WITH ONE'S OWN LIFE   See 'Happiness' (H 1) and 'Life Quality' (L 2)

SATISFACTION WITH HEALTH, PHYSICAL CONDITION	Closed question: 'How do you feel about . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.29			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH HEALTH, PHYSICAL CONDITION	See above		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.38			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (third sample) N: 1072, date: November, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 19
SATISFACTION WITH HEALTH	Closed question	Stronger among whites: G = +.33 (05) Lower among blacks: G = +.04 (ns)	HAPP 1.1	G	+			Non-institutionalized adults, U.S.A. Type of sample construction unclear N: 1602, date: March, 1972	ALSTO 74 p. 101
SATISFACTION WITH HEALTH	Closed question rated on an 11-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+.10			National population, Britain Non-probability quota sample N: 213, date: March, 1971	ABRAM 73 p. 21
SATISFACTION WITH HEALTH	Closed question rated on a 7-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 2.1	r	+.24			Adult population of 8 major British conurbations Non-probability quota sample N: 593, October – November, 1971	HALL 73 p. 100
SATISFACTION WITH HEALTH	Closed question rated on an open graphic scale ranging from 'very dissatisfied' to 'very satisfied'	Unaffected by age and sex Stronger among those of low S.E.S. Lower among those of low educational level	HAPP 1.1	G	+.60		05	National adult population, The Netherlands Probability area sample N: 1552, date: June, 1968	BAKKE 74 p. 28
SATISFACTION WITH HEALTH	Closed question rated on a 5-point scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'very satisfied'	Stronger among males: In the different age groups r ranges from +.24 to +.42 (s) Lower among females: r ranging from +.07 to +.22 (ns) Stronger among those of age 50-65: males: r = +.42 (s) females: r = +.22 (ns)	HAPP 1.1	r_{pm}	+.29	Chi^2	s	Adults, Utrecht, The Netherlands Probability sample stratified by age N: 300, date: autumn, 1967	MOSER 69 p. 32
SATISFACTION WITH HEALTH IN RELATION WITH IMPORTANCE ATTACHED TO HEALTH	Satisfaction weighted for importance attached to good health		HAPP 1.1	G'	+.29	Gt'	01	See above	MOSER 69 p. 33

S 1.7 – SATISFACTION WITH SOCIAL LIFE

S 1.7.1 – FAMILY, RELATIVES

SATISFACTION WITH FAMILY	3-item index containing satisfaction with children, wife/husband, and marriage Closed questions: 'How do you feel about . . . terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.38			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH OWN FAMILY LIFE, wife/husband, marriage, children	Closed question: 'How do you feel about . . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.52			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (third sample) N: 1072, date: November, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 19

SATISFACTION WITH ONE'S FAMILY LIFE	Closed question rated on an 11-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+.17			National population, Britain Non-probability quota sample N: 213, date: March, 1971	ABRAM 73 p. 21
SATISFACTION WITH FAMILY LIFE	Closed question rated on a 7-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 2.1	r	+.38			Adult population of 8 major British conurbations Non-probability quota sample N: 593, date: October – November, 1971	HALL 73 p. 100
SATISFACTION WITH FAMILY	Closed question rated on an open graphic scale ranging from 'very dissatisfied' to 'very satisfied'	Unaffected by age, S.E.S. and sex No relation among those of high educational level	HAPP 1.1	G	+.51		05	National adult population, The Netherlands Probability area sample N: 1552, date: June, 1968	BAKKE 74 p. 27
SATISFACTION WITH FAMILY LIFE	Closed question rated on a 4-point scale ranging from 'very unsatisfied' to 'very satisfied'	unmarried employed males: r = +.54 (05) unmarried employed females: r = +.38 (05) married employed males: r = +.30 (05) married employed females: r = +.38 (05) married non-employed females: r = +.54 (05)	HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+		05	Inhabitants of Helsinki, Finland Probability sample N: 442, date: spring – summer, 1966	HAAVI 71 p. 590
SATISFACTION WITH ACTIVITIES WITH FAMILY	Closed question: 'How do you feel about . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.38			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH ACTIVITIES WITH FAMILY	See above		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.51			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (third sample) N: 1072, date: November, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 19
SATISFACTION WITH INTRA-FAMILY AGREEMENT ON HOW FAMILY INCOME SHOULD BE SPENT	See above		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.42			See above	ANDRE 74 p. 19
SATISFACTION WITH CLOSE ADULT RELATIVES	See above	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.22			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17

S 1.7.2 – MARRIAGE

SATISFACTION WITH MARRIAGE	Question whether one was happier when single yes vs no	Computed for married singles only	HAPP 1.1	G'	+.39	Gt'	01	National adult population, U.S.A. Non-probability quota sample N: 2377, date: February, 1946	WESSM 56 p. 192
MARITAL HAPPINESS	Closed question: not too happy / just about average / a little happier than average / very happy	married persons only Computed by us on the basis of the original data	HAPP 1.1	G'	+.68	Gt'	01	Non-institutionalized adults, U.S.A. Probability multi-stage area sample N: 2460, date: spring 1957	GURIN 60
MARITAL HAPPINESS	See above	males : t = +.38 (01) females: t = +.44 (01)	HAPP 1.1	t_k	+		01	Adult married population with children, U.S.A. Probability area sample N: 797, date: spring 1957	VEROF 62 p. 196

MARITAL HAPPINESS	Closed question: not too happy / pretty happy / very happy	See remarks in excerpt (Part II).
		Computed for married persons only (on the basis of 1973 and 1974 data)
		
		Stronger among females: G' = +.85 (01)
		  Unaffected by age
		Lower among males : G' = +.78 (01)
		  Stronger among males of age 18-39 (G' = +.86) and lower among those of age 60+ (G' = +.70)
			HAPP 1.1	G'	+.81	Gt'	01	National adult population, U.S.A.
								Combined data from 3 U.S. General Surveys conducted by NORC
								N: 3853, date: 1972, 1973, 1974
									GLENN 75/B
									p. 597

Variable	Description	Findings	Code	Stat	Value	Test	Sign	Population	Source
MARITAL HAPPINESS	Closed question: not too happy / pretty happy / very happy	Stronger among those of high S.E.S. Unaffected by sex Third wave: Lower among males : G = +.68 Stronger among females: G = +.86	HAPP 1.1	G	+.72			Adults, urban areas, U.S.A. Probability area samples N: 2787, date: January, 1963 – January, 1964	BRADB 69 p. 158
MARITAL SATISFACTION	6-item index of closed questions on happiness in marriage, regrets about marriage, frequency of problems, seriously considered a divorce, understanding and affection	Strongest among white females Lowest among white males Among blacks unaffected by sex	HAPP 1.1		+			Married adults, Alameda County, California, U.S.A. Probability area sample of households N: 5163, date: 1965	RENNE 70 p. 63
MARITAL SATISFACTION	See above		AFF 1.3	DR̄	+		05	Adults, Alameda County, U.S.A. Probability sample N: 6928, date: 1965	BERKM 71 p. 42
MARITAL HAPPINESS	Closed question	Married respondents only Lower among males : G = +.41 Stronger among females: G = +.47	HAPP 1.1	G	+			Adults, Metro Manila, Philippines Probability area sample N: 941, date: January – April, 1972	BULAT 73 p. 232
		Lower among males : G = +.21 Stronger among females: G = +.27	HAPP 2.1	G	+				
		Index of Positive Affects: males : G = +.33 females : G = +.32 Index of Negative Affects: Stronger among females: G = –.27 Lower among males : G = –.09	AF 2.3	G	+				
SATISFACTION WITH MARRIAGE	Closed question rated on a 7-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 2.1	r	+.23			Adult population of 8 major British conurbations Non-probability quota sample N: 593, date: October – November, 1971	HALL 73 p. 100
MARITAL HAPPINESS	Closed question		HAPP 1.1	G'	+.39	Gt'	01	National adult population, The Netherlands N: at least 1000, date: 1948	NIPO 49 p. 3
SATISFACTION WITH MARRIAGE	Closed question rated on an open graphic scale ranging from 'very dissatisfied' to 'very satisfied'	Computed for married persons only Stronger among those of age 25-35 Lower among those of high S.E.S. Stronger among those of medium educational level Unaffected by sex	HAPP 1.1	G	+.73		05	National adult population, The Netherlands Probability area sample N: 1552, date: June, 1968	BAKKE 74 p. 27
MARITAL SATISFACTION	Closed question on expectations re marriage: not up to / in accordance with / surpassed	Computed for married persons only males : r = +.21 (ns) females: r = +.49 (005)	HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+	Chi^2		Adults, Amsterdam, The Netherlands Probability systematic random sample, stratified by sex and marital status N: 600, date: September – December, 1965	JONG 69 p. 193
SATISFACTION WITH MARRIAGE	Closed question rated on a 5-point scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'very satisfied'	Computed for married Ss only Unaffected by sex	HAPP 1.1	r_{pm}	+.47	Chi^2	s	Adults, Utrecht, The Netherlands Probability sample stratified by age N: 300, date: autumn, 1967	MOSER 69 p. 18
SATISFACTION WITH MARRIAGE	Closed question		HAPP 1.1	r_{pm}	+.44			Housewives, The Netherlands Probability area sample N: 450, date: autumn, 1964	PHILI 66 p. 66
MARITAL HAPPINESS	Closed question: very unhappy / unhappy / average / happy / very happy		HAPP 2.1	r^2	+.22	Chi^2	001	Married persons, Poland Non-probability, purposive quota sample N: 1746, date: June – July, 1960	MAKAR 62 p. 108
SATISFACTION WITH RELATIONSHIP TO SPOUSE	Closed question rated on a 4-point scale ranging from 'very unsatisfied' to 'very satisfied'	Computed for married Ss only married employed males : r = +.27 (05) married employed females : r = +.29 (05) married non-employed females: r = +.50 (05)	HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+		05	Inhabitants of Helsinki, Finland Probability sample N: 442, date: spring – summer, 1966	HAAVI 71 p. 590

S 1.7.3 - FRIENDS, SOCIAL CONTACTS

SATISFACTION WITH FRIENDS	Closed question: wish to move to a 'different circle' vs satisfied	Stronger among those who are not getting along well with their family and/or are dissatisfied with their job Unaffected by sex, education, age, income, S.E.S., marital status, and race	HAPP 1.1	G'	+.43	Gt'	01	National adult population, U.S.A. Non-probability quota sample N: 2377, date: February, 1946	WESSM 56 p. 201
SATISFACTION WITH SOCIAL CONTACTS, FRIENDS	2-item index containing satisfaction with social contacts and the time spent with friends Closed questions: 'How do you feel about . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.34			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH FRIENDS	Closed question: 'How do you feel about . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.36			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (third sample) N: 1072, date: November, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 19
SATISFACTION WITH SOCIAL LIFE	Closed question: not too satisfied / pretty satisfied / very satisfied	Computed for Index of Positive Affects only: $G = +. 18$ (ns) Stronger among those with very low sociability (.05) Unrelated to Index of Negative Affects	AFF 2.3	G	+			Urban areas, U.S.A. Probability area sample N: 2787, date: January, 1963 - January, 1964	BRADB 69 p. 140
SATISFACTION WITH ONE'S FRIENDSHIPS	Closed question rated on an 11-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+.08			National population, Britain Non-probability quota sample N: 213, date: March, 1971	ABRAM 73 p. 21
SATISFACTION WITH FRIENDS	Closed question rated on an open graphic scale ranging from 'very dissatisfied' to 'very satisfied'	Unaffected by age and sex Lower among those of medium S.E.S. Stronger among those of low educational level	HAPP 1.1	G	+.59		05	National adult population, The Netherlands Probability area sample N: 1552, date: June, 1968	BAKKE 74 p. 27
SATISFACTION WITH FRIENDS AND ACQUAINTANCES	Closed question rated on a 5-point scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'very satisfied'		HAPP 1.1	r_{pm}	+.15	Chi^2	s	Adults, Utrecht, The Netherlands Probability sample stratified by age N: 300, date: autumn, 1967	MOSER 69 p. 29
SATISFACTION WITH SOCIAL GROUP	Closed question		HAPP 1.1	mc	+.43			Urban adult Jewish population, Israel Probability area sample using dwelling units N: 1830, date: summer, 1973	LEVY 75/2 p. 373
			AFF 1.1	mc	+.34				

S 1.7.4 - VARIOUS ASPECTS OF SOCIAL LIFE

SATISFACTION WITH HOW ONE GETS ON WITH PEOPLE	Closed question: 'How do you feel about . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.31			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH CHANCE TO KNOW PEOPLE ONE FEELS COMFORTABLE WITH	See above	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.31			See above	ANDRE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH ORGANIZATIONAL MEMBERSHIPS	See above	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.21			See above	ANDRE 74 p. 17

SATISFACTION WITH SEX LIFE	Closed question: 'How do you feel about . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.40		National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (third sample) N: 1072, date: November, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 19
SATISFACTION WITH ADMIRATION OR RESPECT BY OTHERS	See above		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.34		See above	ANDRE 74 p. 19
SATISFACTION WITH RESPECT FOR YOUR RIGHTS BY OTHERS	See above		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.28		See above	ANDRE 74 p. 19
SATISFACTION WITH RELIABILITY OF PEOPLE YOU DEPEND ON	See above		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.38		See above	ANDRE 74 p. 19
SATISFACTION WITH PRIVACY	See above		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.37		See above	ANDRE 74 p. 19
SATISFACTION WITH LOVE LIFE	Closed question rated on an open graphic scale ranging from 'very dissatisfied' to 'very satisfied'	Lower among those of age 41-50 and 61-65 Stronger among those of low S.E.S. No relation among those of high educational level Unaffected by sex	HAPP 1.1	G	+.70	05	National adult population, The Netherlands Probability area sample N: 1552, date: June, 1968	BAKKE 74 p. 28

S 1.8 - SATISFACTION WITH SOCIO-ECONOMIC LEVEL

S 1.8.1 - S.E.S. IN GENERAL

PERCEIVED SATISFACTION OF S.E.S.-GROUP ONE BELONGS TO	Closed question on perceived satisfaction of various S.E.S.-groups on an 11-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965); and closed question on the S.E.S.-group S belongs to		HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+.47		National population, Britain Non-probability quota sample N: 213, date: March, 1971	ABRAM 73 p. 21
SATISFACTION WITH S.E.S.	Closed question rated on an open graphic scale ranging from 'very dissatisfied' to 'very satisfied'	Unaffected by age, S.E.S., and sex Lower among those of high educational level	HAPP 1.1	G	+.58	05	National adult population, The Netherlands Probability area sample N: 1552, date: June, 1968	BAKKE 74 p. 27

S 1.8.2 - LEVEL OF EDUCATION

SATISFACTION WITH EDUCATION	Closed question rated on a 7-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 2.1	r	+.27		Adult population of 8 major British conurbations Non-probability quota sample N: 593, date: October - November, 1971	HALL 73 p. 100
SATISFACTION WITH EDUCATIONAL LEVEL	Closed question ranging from 'very unsatisfied' to 'very satisfied'		HAPP 1.1	mc	+.26		Urban adult Jewish population, Israel Probability area sample using dwelling units N: 1940, date: spring, 1973	LEVY 75/1 p. 372
			AFF 1.1	mc	+.31			

SATISFACTION WITH MATERIAL STANDARD OF LIVING	2-item index containing satisfaction with income and standard of living Closed questions: 'How do you feel about . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.47			National adult pop J.S.A. Probability area s.mp (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH MATERIAL STANDARD OF LIVING	See above		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.57			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (third sample) N: 1072, date: November, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 19
SATISFACTION WITH FINANCIAL SITUATION	Closed question	Stronger among blacks: G = +.65 (05) Lower among whites: G = +.42 (05)	HAPP 1.1	G	+			Non-institutionalized adults, U.S.A. Type of sample construction unclear N: 1602, date: March, 1972	ALSTO 74 p. 101
FINANCIAL SITUATION	Closed question: not satisfied at all / more or less satisfied / pretty well satisfied	Stronger among the aged: r = +.41 Lower among those under the age of 65: r = +.21 This difference is significant (05) Unaffected by sex	HAPP 1.1	r_{pm}	+.24		01	Non-institutionalized adults, U.S.A. Probability samples N: 1547, date: 1972, 1973	SPREI 74 p. 457
SATISFACTION WITH FINANCIAL SITUATION	Closed question rated on an 11-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+.52			National population, Britain Non-probability quota sample N: 213, date: March, 1971	ABRAM 73 p. 21
SATISFACTION WITH STANDARD OF LIVING	Closed question rated on a 7-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 2.1	r	+.36			Adult population of 8 major British conurbations Non-probability quota sample N: 593, date: October - November, 1971	HALL 73 p. 100
SATISFACTION WITH INCOME	Closed question rated on an open graphic scale ranging from 'very dissatisfied' to 'very satisfied'	Stronger among younger persons Lower among those of high or low S.E.S. Stronger among those of low educational level	HAPP 1.1	G	+.44		05	National adult population, The Netherlands Probability area sample N: 1552, date: June, 1968	BAKKE 74 p. 27

S 1.9 - SATISFACTION WITH WORK, JOB

S 1.9.1 - WORK IN GENERAL

JOB SATISFACTION	Closed question: very little / fair amount / a lot of pleasure and satisfaction	Stronger among those who are not getting along well with their family and/or are not satisfied with their friends	HAPP 1.1	G'	+.44	Gt'	01	National adult population, U.S.A. Non-probability quota sample N: 2377, .date: February, 1946	WESSM 56 p. 194
SATISFACTION WITH WORK	Closed question	Computed for employed Ss only Stronger among whites: G = +.46 (05) Lower among blacks: G = +.39 (05)	HAPP 1.1	G	+			Non-institutionalized adults, U.S.A. Type of sample construction unclear N: 1602, date: March, 1972	ALSTO 74 p. 101
SATISFACTION WITH JOB	5-item index containing satisfaction with job, co-workers, work, work situation, and availabilities for doing the job Closed questions 'How do you feel about . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted	Stronger among males: $h^2 = .36$ Lower among females: $h^2 = .15$	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.23			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17

SATISFACTION WITH JOB	Closed question: 'How do you feel about. . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.37			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (third sample) N: 1072, date: November, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 19
WORK SATISFACTION	3-item index of closed questions on satisfaction with earnings, kind of work and the work as a whole: low / medium / high / very high	Computed for male chief wage earners only. Positive relationship with Index of Positive Affects. Stronger among higher occupational prestige levels in both white- and blue-collar jobs (05) Not among lower prestige levels (ns) Negative relation with Index of Negative Affects. Significant among low prestige, blue-collar workers only (05)	AFF 2.3	$\bar{DR}$	+	BCI		Adults, urban areas, U.S.A. Probability area samples N: 2787, date: January, 1963 - January, 1964	BRADB 69 p. 203-205
		Computed for chief wage earners only. Female chief wage earners were almost without exception single women. Stronger among males: G = +.43 Lower among females : G = +.28 In wave 3: males : G = +.41 females : G = +.44 Slightly stronger among people with low job statuses, particularly for women.	HAPP 1.1	G	+				
JOB SATISFACTION	Question on whether current job is the best one ever had Have had better one vs is the best one	Computed for chief wage earners only (see above) Index of Positive Affects: $\bar{DR}$ = +.08 (05) Stronger among males : $\bar{DR}$ = +.09 (05) Not among females : $\bar{DR}$ = +.02 (ns) Index of Negative Affects: $\bar{DR}$ = -.05 (ns) Unaffected by sex	AFF 2.3	$\bar{DR}$	+	BCI		See above	BRADB 69 p. 197
JOB SATISFACTION	Closed question: dissatisfied / ambivalent / satisfied / very satisfied	Computed for males only.	HAPP 1.1	t_k	+.13		01	Adult married population with childreen, U.S.A. Probability area sample N: 797, date: —	VEROF 62 p. 196
JOB SATISFACTION	Believe that one is in the 'right' job	Stronger among females.	HAPP 2.1	D%	+		s	Middle-aged, middle class married couples, U.S.A. Ncn-probability accidental sample of couples N: 416, date: 1952 - 1953	ROSE 55 p. 17
JOB SATISFACTION	3-item index of closed questions on satisfaction with over-all job, kind of work and wages		HAPP 1.1	G'	+.56	Gt'	01	Males in the age of 25-49, 4 small communities, Illinois, U.S.A. Probability multi stage samples N: 393, date: March, 1962	BRADB 65/1 p. 37
		Index of Positive Affects: G' = +.10 (ns) Index of Negative Affects: G' = -.28 (01)	AFF 2.3	G'	+	Gt'			
HAPPINESS WITH JOB	Closed question rated on an 11-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 1.1	r_{pm}	+.37			Workers of a utility union, Greater Kansas City area, U.S.A. Probability cluster sample N: 213, date: —	GILLO 73 p. 124
JOB SATISFACTION	Closed question: somewhat or very unsatisfied / somewhat satisfied / very satisfied	Computed for employed Ss only Index of Positive Affects: t = +.14 (01) high S.E.S. group : t = +.33 (01) medium S.E.S. group : t = +.16 (05) low S.E.S. group : t = +.01 (ns) Index of Negative Affects: t = -.26 (001) high S.E.S. group : t = -.07 (ns) medium S.E.S. group : t = -.35 (001) low S.E.S. group : t = -.30 (001) Affect Balance: high S.E.S. group : t = +.27 (01) medium S.E.S. group : t = +.32 (001) low S.E.S. group : t = +.31 (01)	AFF 2.3	t	+		s	Non-hospitalized schizophrenic males, New York, U.S.A. Probability sample, drawn from the Monroe County Psychiatric Case Register N: 178, date: 1964 -1965	ALEXA 68 p. 177/190

		No differences when happiness is used as dependent or independent variable: d_{xy} and d_{yx} are approximately equal.	HAPP 1.1	t	+.23		001		
SATISFACTION WITH JOB of oneself or husband	Closed question rated on an 11-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+.24			National population, Britain Non-probability quota sample N: 213, date: March, 1971	ABRAM 73 p. 21
SATISFACTION WITH JOB	Closed question rated on a 7-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 2.1	r	+.33			Adult population of 8 major British conurbations Non-probability quota sample N: 593, date: October – November, 1971	HALL 73 p. 100
JOB SATISFACTION	Closed question on enjoying work: do not enjoy / reasonably / enjoy		HAPP 1.1	G'	+.74	Gt'	01	National adult population, The Netherlands N: at least 1000, date: 1948	NIPO 49 p. 3
JOB SATISFACTION	Closed question: no / partly yes / partly no / yes	unmarried males : r = +.26 (025) married males : r = +.13 (ns) unmarried females: r = +.41 (005) married females : r = +.29 (005)	HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+	Chi^2		Adults, Amsterdam, The Netherlands Probability systematic random sample, stratified by sex and marital status N: 600, date: September – December, 1965	JONG 69 p. 195
SATISFACTION WITH WORK	Direct yes / no question		HAPP 2.1	G	+.80	Chi^2	000	Male employees at the age of 40+, The Netherlands Non-probability chunk sample N: 13000, date: —	SONDE 75
SATISFACTION WITH WORK	Closed question		HAPP 1.1 AFF 1.1	mc mc	+.49 +.48			Urban adult Jewish population, Israel Probability area sample, using dwelling units N: 1830, date: summer, 1973	LEVY 75/2 p. 373
SATISFACTION WITH WORK IN GENERAL	Closed question rated on a 4-point scale ranging from 'very unsatisfied' to 'very satisfied'	unmarried employed males : r = +.30 (05) unmarried employed females: r = +.14 (ns) married employed males : r = +.29 (05) married employed females : r = +.21 (ns)	HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+			Inhabitants of Helsinki, Finland Probability sample N: 442, date: spring – summer, 1966	HAAVI 71 p. 590
JOB SATISFACTION	Closed question: 'Do you like your job?' no / indifferent / yes / yes, very much		HAPP 2.1	T^2	+.17	Chi^2	001	Housewives and persons gainfully employed outside agriculture, Poland Non-probability purposive quota sample N: 1251, date: June – July, 1960	MAKAR 62 p. 110
JOB SATISFACTION	Closed question: 'Do you like working in agriculture?' no / indifferent / yes / yes, very much		HAPP 2.1	T^2	+.11	Chi^2	001	Individual farmowners and their families, Poland Non-probability purposive quota sample N: 1002, date: June – July, 1960	MAKAR 62 p. 110
SATISFACTION WITH HOUSEWORK	Closed question: very little / a fair amount / a lot of pleasure and satisfaction	Computed for unemployed housewives only	HAPP 1.1	G'	+.38	Gt'	01	National adult population, U.S.A. Non-probability quota sample N: 2377, date: Febrauary, 1946	WESSM 56 p. 198
SATISFACTION WITH HOUSEWORK, work around the home	Closed question: 'How do you feel about. . . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.26			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH ROLE (i.e. career combined with homemaking or full-time housewives	Closed question : very dissatisfied / mildly dissatisfied / satisfied / very satisfied		AFF 2.1	r_{pm}	+.24		ns	Married females, U.S.A. Non-probability purposive sample by expert choice N: 62, date: —	HARDE 69 p. 50

S 1.9.2 – SPECIFIC ASPECTS OF THE JOB

SATISFACTION WITH:	Cumulative point adjective check-list (Job Descriptive Index; see Quinn & Kahn, 1967)							Workers, Columbia, Canada Non-probability purposive sample by expert choice N: 470, date: —	HULIN 69 p. 285

– ACTUAL WORK DONE		Stronger among males: r = +.27 (01) Lower among females : r = +.14 (ns)	HAPP 3.1	r_{pm}	+	t			
– PAY		males only	HAPP 3.1	r_{pm}	+.20	t	01		
– PROMOTIONAL OPPORTUNITIES		males only	HAPP 3.1	r_{pm}	+.15	t	01		
– SUPERVISION		males only	HAPP 3.1	r_{pm}	+.13	t	05		
– CO-WORKERS		males : r = +.20 (01) females: r = +.23 (05)	HAPP 3.1	r_{pm}	+	t	05		
SATISFACTION WITH:	Job Descriptive Index (See Smith et al., 1969) (see also last page under HULIN 69)	See 'sample construction' in excerpt (Part II)						Male supervisors of a chemical plant, U.S.A. Probability samples N: 69, date: —	IRIS 72 p. 302
– WORK		sample A: r = +.01 (ns) sample B: r = +.31 (ns)	HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+				
– PAY		sample A: r = +.46 (01) sample B: r = +.07 (ns)	HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+				
– PROMOTIONS		sample A: r = +.23 (ns) sample B: r = +.36 (05)	HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+				
– SUPERVISION		sample A: r = +.40 (05) sample B: r = +.30 (ns)	HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+				
– CO-WORKERS		sample A: r = +.04 (ns) sample B: r = +.26 (ns)	HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+				
SATISFACTION WITH MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE TO COMPLAINTS	Closed question rated on a graphic rating scale very dissatisfied / somewhat dissatisfied / neither satisfied nor dissatisfied / somewhat satisfied / very satisfied	Stronger among males: r = +.22 (01) Lower among females : r = +.06 (ns)	HAPP 3.1	r_{pm}	+	t		Workers, Columbia, Canada Non-probability purposive sample by expert choice N: 470, date: —	HULIN 69 p. 285
SATISFACTION WITH TRAINING OPPORTUNITIES	See above	Stronger among females: r = +.21 (ns) Lower among males: : r = +.09 (ns)	HAPP 3.1	r_{pm}	+	t	ns	See above	HULIN 69 p. 285
SATISFACTION WITH WORKING CONDITIONS	See above	males : r = +.16 (01) females: r = +.12 (ns)	HAPP 3.1	r_{pm}	+	t		See above	HULIN 69 p. 285
SATISFACTION WITH WORK ITSELF	Closed question rated on a 5-point scale ranging from 'I dislike the work very much and would dearly like to do something else' to 'I like it very much and wouldn't want to do any other work'	Index of Positive Affects: G = +.06 Index of Negative Affects: G = –.09	AFF 2.3	G	+			Employed males, England Non-probability purposive quota sample N: 192, date: —	PAYNE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH BOSS	Closed question rated on a 5-point scale ranging from 'not satisfied' to 'completely satisfied'	Index of Positive Affects: G = +.01 Index of Negative Affects: G = –.08	AFF 2.3	G	+			See above	PAYNE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH COLLEAGUES	Closed question rated on a 5-point scale ranging from 'not satisfied' to 'completely satisfied'	Index of Positive Affects: G = –.07 Index of Negative Affects: G = –.03	AFF 2.3	G				See above	PAYNE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH PAY	Closed question rated on a 4-point scale ranging from 'inadequate – struggle to buy just basics, and quite often have to go into debt to do that' to 'completely adequate – meet all bills, buy things I want, have holidays I want and can save if choose to'	Index of Positive Affects: G = –.03 Index of Negative Affects: G = –.12	AFF 2.3	G				See above	PAYNE 74 p. 17

SATISFACTION WITH STATUS AT WORK	Closed question rated on a 4-point scale ranging from 'very unsatisfied' to 'very satisfied'	unmarried employed males : r = +.26 (05) unmarried employed females: r = +.30 (05) married employed males : r = +.25 (05) married employed females : r = +.28 (05)	HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+		05	Inhabitants of Helsinki, Finland Probability sample N: 442, date: spring - summer, 1966	HAAVI 71 p. 590
SATISFACTION WITH DAILY TRAVELLING	Closed question: no vs yes		HAPP 2.1	G	+.34	Chi^2	000	Male employees of age 40+, The Netherlands Non-probability chunk sample N: 13000, date: —	SONDE 75

S 1.10 - VARIOUS DOMAINSATISFACTIONS

SATISFACTION WITH PRESENT AGE	Closed question: wish to be younger vs satisfied with present age		HAPP 1.1	G'	+.22	Gt'	01	National adult population, U.S.A. Non-probability quota sample N: 2377, date: February, 1946	WESSM 56 p. 178
SATISFACTION WITH PRESENT AGE	Closed question: wish to be older vs satisfied with present age		HAPP 1.1	G'	+.18	Gt'	ns	See above	WESSM 56 p. 178
SATISFACTION WITH RELIGIOUS FAITH	Closed question: 'How do you feel about. . .' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.24			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (first sample) N: 1297, date: May, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH AMOUNT OF FUN	See above	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.51			See above	ANDRE 74 p. 17
SATISFACTION WITH AMOUNT OF FUN	See above		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.61			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (third sample) N: 1072, date: November, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 19
SATISFACTION WITH CHANCES TO ENJOY PLEASANT OR BEAUTIFUL THINGS	See above		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.55			See above	ANDRE 74 p. 19
SATISFACTION WITH OPPORTUNITY TO CHANGE THINGS	See above		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.37			See above	ANDRE 74 p. 19
SATISFACTION WITH ONE'S CREATIVITY	See above		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.32			See above	ANDRE 74 p. 19
SATISFACTION WITH CHANCES OF GETTING A GOOD JOB	See above		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.37			See above	ANDRE 74 p. 19
SATISFACTION WITH AMOUNT OF SLEEP YOU GET	See above		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h^2	.31			See above	ANDRE 74 p. 19
SATISFACTION WITH OWN SATISFACTION LEVEL	Difference-score of actual satisfaction and degree of satisfaction one feels to be entitled to; both rated on 11-point self-anchoring scales, based on Cantril (1965)	See also instrument in excerpt (Part II).	HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+.59			National population, Britain Non-probability quota sample N: 213, date: March, 1971	ABRAM 73 p. 21
SATISFACTION WITH CHILDREN'S EDUCATION	Closed question rated on an 11-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 2.1	r_{pm}	+.14			See above	ABRAM 73 p. 21
SATISFACTION WITH COMFORT FROM RELIGION	Closed question rated on a 7-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)		HAPP 2.1	r	+.05			Adult population of 8 major British conurbations Non-probability quota sample N: 593, date: October - November, 1971	HALL 73 p. 100

SATISFACTION WITH DAILY ACTIVITIES	Closed question rated on an open graphic scale ranging from 'very dissatisfied' to 'very satisfied'	Lower among those of age 41 - 50 Stronger among those of high or low S.E.S., among those of medium educational level, among females and among the married	HAPP 1.1	G	+.56		05	National adult population, The Netherlands Probability area sample N: 1552, date: June, 1968	BAKKE 74 p. 27
SATISFACTION WITH UNIVERSITY	Closed question rated on a 7-point graphic scale on satisfaction with one's experience as a student at the University of Rochester: extremely dissatisfied / neither satisfied nor dissatisfied / extremely satisfied		AFF 2.1	DM	+	t	01	Undergraduate full-time college students, University of Rochester, U.S.A. Non-probability chunk sample N: 952, date: March, 1965	CONST 65 p. 71
SATISFACTION WITH UNIVERSITY	Direct yes / no question: 'Given the same alternatives, would you again choose to come to the University of Rochester?'	Analysis on the basis of the 16 most and 16 least happy Ss in each of the 8 sex/class group: (N = 256)	AFF 2.1	D%	+			See above	CONST 65 p. 74
SATISFACTION WITH NEXT YEAR'S PLANS IN TERMS OF ACHIEVEMENT NEEDS	Closed question on 'the extent to which S's present plans for further education, career, or job fulfill her need to achieve, to fully utilize her capacities' rated on a 7-point scale, ranging from 'highly unsatisfactory' to 'highly satisfactory'		AFF 2.1	r_{pm}	+.17		05	Female college seniors, University of Rochester, U.S.A. Non-probability chunk sample N: 162, date: May - June, 1966	PORTE 67 p. 101

SATISFACTION WITH OCCUPATIONAL PREFERENCE	5-item index reflecting satisfaction with the appropriateness of stated occupational preference	See remarks in excerpt (Part II).
		
		For males: r = +.26 (05)
		- Stronger among those reporting high priority of work and a career: r = +.39 (01)
		  Lower among those reporting low priority: r = +.13 (ns)
		- Unaffected by general attitudes towards work: low: r = +.22 (ns)
		       high: r = +.30 (05)
		- Stronger among those reporting high career advancement and planning: r = +.40 (01)
		  Lower among those reporting low career advancement: r = +.04 (ns)
		For females: r = +.30 (01)
		- Stronger among those reporting high priority of work and a career: r = +.31 (05)
		  Lower among those reporting low priority: r = +.18 (ns)
		- Stronger among those reporting low attitudes towards work: r = +.36 (01)
		  Lower among those reporting high attitudes towards work: r = +.13 (ns)
		- Stronger among those reporting high career advancement and planning: r = +.53 (01)
		  Lower among those reporting low career advancement and planning: r = +.17 (ns)
			COMP 1.2	r	+	Z	s	Undergraduate college students, U.S.A.
								Non-probability chunk sample
								N: 203, date: —
									GREEN 74
									p. 54

S 1.11 - SATISFACTION SUMSCORES

SATISFACTION WITH MAJOR LIFE AREAS	6-item index of closed questions on satisfaction with housing, neighborhood, friends, occupation, marriage, and children, rated on 7-point scales. unhappy with one or more / not unhappy with any / satisfied with all 6 of these areas	Each satisfaction was significantly related (001) to enjoyment	COMP 1.1	G	+.50		001	Adults, U.S.A. Probability cluster sample using households and probability multi-stage sample N: 2168, date: 1972	BRENN 75B p. 354

SATISFACTION WITH MARRIAGE, JOB, AND HEALTH p. 67	Factor analysis on the basis of answers on direct closed questions		HAPP 1.1	V	+.68			Housewives, The Netherlands Probability area sample N: 450, date: autumn, 1964	PHILI 66 p. 67